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Attention has been focused on the organization of host molecules by complexation with metal ions. Kobuke et al. reported that podands having catechol and $\beta$-diketone units were organized by boron or $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ to give a host molecule which exhibited high extractability of metal ions and high metal ion selectivity. ${ }^{1}$ Nabeshima et al. reported that podands having bipyridyl units were organized by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to give $\mathrm{K}^{+}$selectivity in liquid membrane transport. ${ }^{2}$ In this case, $\mathrm{K}^{+}$transport was considerably less than that by the ligands containing no $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$. To the best of our knowledge, no metal ion-organized host molecule which exhibits enantiomeric recognition of optically active guests such as chiral organic ammonium ions has been reported. When a crown ether ligand binds a primary alkylammonium ion, a three-point binding site in the ligand is needed to facilitate the formation of a stable complex. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, one would expect drastic changes in the interactive ability toward ammonium ions for a host molecule before and after metal organization of the host. It is possible for such host molecules to achieve enantiomeric recognition of chiral guest molecules by the introduction of chiral barriers to the binding site. Herein, we report the first examle of recognition for the enantiomers of chiral $\alpha$-phenylethylammonium ( $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$) perchlorate by Cu -(I)-organized chiral pyridine-containing bisbipyridino podands.

Podands $\mathbf{1}$ and ( $S, S$ )-2 (Chart 1) were prepared by the reaction of 6-bromomethyl-2, $2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl and the appropriate pyridinecontaining diols. Podand $(S, S)-\mathbf{3}$ was obtained by the reaction of 2,6-pyridinedimethanol ditosylate with $(S)-(+)-6-\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right.$-oxa$4^{\prime \prime}$-hydroxypentyl)-2,2'-bipyridyl. The structures of the podands were confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, high-resolution MS, and elemental analyses. ${ }^{4}$ To see if the podands form complexes with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ using the two bipyridyl portions of the molecule, ${ }^{5}$ highresolution MS and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of a 1:1 mixture of $(S, S)$-2 and CuCl were measured. As shown in Figure 1, parent ion peaks arising from $\left[(S, S)-\mathbf{2}+{ }^{63} \mathrm{Cu}\right]^{+}$and $\left[(S, S)-\mathbf{2}+{ }^{65} \mathrm{Cu}\right]^{+}$in the high-resolution MS were observed at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 654$ (100\%) and 656 $(57 \%)$, respectively. ${ }^{6}$ On the other hand, a parent ion peak arising from $[(S, S)-2+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$appeared at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 592$ in only a $10 \%$ intensity. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the $(S, S)-\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ mixture in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, signals for protons at positions $4,5,4^{\prime}$, and $5^{\prime}$ on the bipyridyl units appeared at a lower field by $0.15,0.07,0.15$, and 0.12 ppm , respectively, than those of $(S, S)-2$, while the
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## Chart 1


proton signals for methylene, methyl, and pyridine ring protons shifted to higher fields ( -0.01 to -0.15 ppm ). ${ }^{7}$ Therefore, the MS and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments show that ( $S, S$ )-2 forms a complex with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ through coordination with the bipyridyl moieties.
(4) Compound 1: pale yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 8.68 (d, $J$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS (thioglycerol as matrix) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} 564.2611$; found $564.2628\left(100 \%\right.$, $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 70.32; H, 5.90. Found: C, 70.38; H, 5.85. Compound 2: pale yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 8.68 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=4.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.91$ (hex, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS (thioglycerol as matrix): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 592.2924$, found 592.2927 $\left(100 \%,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+18.9^{\circ}\left(c=5.07, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.30$. Found: C, $71.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.26$. Compound 3: pale yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.80 (hexatet, $\left.J_{\text {orth }}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {metha }}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{hex}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS (thioglycerol as matrix) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 592.2924$; found $592.2921\left(100 \%,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-8.76^{\circ}\left(c=5.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.05 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.30. Found: C, 71.24; H, 5.74.


Figure 1. High-resolution mass spectra of the $(S, S)-2-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex.
Enantiomeric recognition toward $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$by podands $\mathbf{1}$, $(S, S)-\mathbf{2}$, and $(S, S)-\mathbf{3}$, and their $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes in MeOH has been evaluated using a calorimetric titration procedure (Table 1). ${ }^{8}$ When the podands without $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ were used, no interactions with $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$were observed ( $\Delta H \approx 0$ ). On the other hand, the $1: 1$ podand $-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes exhibited strong interactions with $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$in $\mathrm{MeOH}(\log K=3.08-3.64)$. These $\log K$ values are higher than those for the interaction of $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ with some chiral pyridino-18-crown-6 ligands. ${ }^{9}$ The calorimetric and spectroscopic results provide strong evidence for organization of the podands with assistance from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to form adequate structures for the typical three-point binding needed by primary alkylammonium ions. ${ }^{3,9} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-organized chiral complexes, $(S, S)-2-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $(S, S)-3-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, favor the $(S)-$ $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$and $(R)-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$salts, respectively, while achiral complex, $1-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, does not exhibit enantiomeric recognition. The enantiomeric recognition shown by $(S, S)-\mathbf{2 - C u}(\mathrm{I})$ and $(S, S)$ -

[^1]Table 1. $\log K, \Delta H(\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$, and $T \Delta S(\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ Values
Determined by Calorimetric Titration for Interactions of $\mathbf{1},(S, S) \mathbf{- 2}$, $(S, S)-\mathbf{3}$, and Their $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ Complexes with $(R)$ - and ( $S$ )- $\alpha$-Phenylethylammonium $\left(\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$Perchlorate in MeOH at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| ligand | cation | $\log K$ | $\Delta H$ | $T \Delta S$ | $\Delta \log K$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (S) - $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  | $(\Delta H \sim 0)$ |  |  |
|  | (R) $-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  | ( $\Delta H \sim 0)$ |  |  |
| $(S, S)-\mathbf{2}$ | $(S)-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  | $(\Delta H \sim 0)$ |  |  |
|  | (R) $-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  | $(\Delta H \sim 0)$ |  |  |
| $(S, S)-\mathbf{3}$ | $(S)-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  | $(\Delta H \sim 0)$ |  |  |
|  | (R) $-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}^{+}$ |  | $(\Delta H \sim 0)$ |  |  |
| $1-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ | $(S)$ - $\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $3.53 \pm 0.06$ | $6.6 \pm 0.7$ | 27 |  |
|  | (R) $-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $3.56 \pm 0.06$ | $7.1 \pm 0.8$ | 27 | $\sim 0$ |
| $(S, S)-2-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ | $(S)-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $3.64 \pm 0.04$ | $7.9 \pm 0.7$ | 29 |  |
|  | (R) $-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}^{+}$ | $3.38 \pm 0.05$ | $6.8 \pm 0.8$ | 26 | 0.26 |
| $(S, S)-3-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ | $(S)-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $3.08 \pm 0.04$ | $4.8 \pm 0.7$ | 22 |  |
|  | (R) $-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $3.25 \pm 0.04$ | $4.3 \pm 0.8$ | 23 | 0.17 |
| $(S, S)-\mathbf{4}^{a}$ | $(S)-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | 2.11 |  |  |  |
|  | (R) $-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | 2.33 |  |  | 0.22 |

${ }^{a}$ Reference 9.
3- $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ is similar to that of $(S, S)$-dimethyl-substituted pyridino-18-crown-6, $(S, S)-4 .{ }^{9}$ The $\Delta \log K$ values for the interactions of $(S, S)-2-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})(0.26)$ and $(S, S)-3-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})(0.17)$ with $(R)-$ and $(S)-\mathrm{PhEtNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$are comparable to that of $(S, S)-4(0.22) .{ }^{9}$ These results show that the binding sites in these $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-organized chiral podands are also good enantiomeric recognition sites for the chiral ammonium ions.

We have demonstrated that the chiral pyridine-containing bisbipyridino podands are organized by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to give good enantiomeric recognition sites for chiral ammonium ions. Without the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ present, the podands do not interact with primary alkylammonium ions. This remarkable change in complexing ability and the observed enantiomeric recognition toward chiral ammonium ions demonstrate that this type of metal ion-organized system has potential for the design of new chiral supramolecular systems. Further studies of the new chiral podands are in progress.
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